

Qualitative Transparency Deliberations

hosted by the Social Science Research Institute at Duke University on behalf of the APSA Section for Qualitative and Multi-Method Research

<https://www.qualtd.net/>

[READ FIRST] What we've been talking about so far (Updated 5/24)

<https://www.qualtd.net/viewtopic.php?f=10&t=85>

Page 1 of 1

[READ FIRST] What we've been talking about so far (Updated 5/24)

Posted: **Fri Apr 29, 2016 12:27 am**

by **Alan Jacobs**

If you're just arriving at the site, here's a map to some of the issues that we've been discussing so far (as of 5/11). Click on a question below to see a set of links on the topic.

Join the conversation by responding to any of these threads or by creating a new topic to raise an issue we haven't gotten to yet. These discussion boards will remain open until **May 22**.

[What does the pursuit of transparency mean for specific research approaches, such as ethnography, interpretive work, or QCA?](#)

[What transparency practices are of value?](#)

[What do we mean by "transparency" anyway? What about forms of transparency beyond the DA-RT framework, such as being explicit about how research design choices were made or about positionality?](#)

[What ethical and human-subject protection issues confront the pursuit of transparency?](#)

There's been extensive discussion of data-sharing rules and their implications, around questions such as:

- **[What does data-sharing concretely mean?](#)**
- **[What are the costs of and obstacles to data-sharing, and how might these differently affect scholars employing different methods or working at different kinds of institutions?](#)**
- **[How beneficial is greater sharing of qualitative data?](#)**
- **[How could data access rules affect researchers' incentives or ability to undertake certain kinds of research?](#)**
- **[How central are "data" to qualitative political analysis? What counts as data?](#)**

[What does analytic transparency look like in qualitative research?](#)

[What do we think of journals' current transparency policies?](#)

[Who should judge whether research is sufficiently transparent? Should we have transparency rules? Organically evolving norms? Acknowledged best practices?](#)

[What are the implications of transparency rules for graduate training?](#)

[How might DA-RT affect public perceptions of political science?](#)

[What are the benefits of research transparency in general?](#) (See above for benefits of data access in particular.)

[How should QTD Working Groups be defined?](#)

[What role does transparency play in the evaluation of research? What alternative criteria or tools of evaluation do/should scholars employ?](#)

[What should be QTD working group foci?](#)

[What are the implications of "the right of first use" for the pursuit of transparency?](#)

Please join one of the discussions above, or start your own by clicking ["New Topic"](#)!

If you'd like to comment on this summary, please email the Steering Committee Co-Chairs [here](#).

Re: [READ FIRST] What we've been talking about so far

Posted: Sun May 01, 2016 1:45 pm

by Alan Jacobs

What does the pursuit of transparency mean for specific research approaches?

• **Ethnography:**

See Steering Committee query [here](#)

- [Data Access – Discourage Original Data Production?](#)
- [Transparency, openness, & ethnography in democratic contexts](#)
- [Harms in study of identity, and forgotten agency](#)
- [What might "transparency" look like for ethnographers?](#)

• **Interview-based research:**

See Steering Committee query [here](#)

- [Re:\[From Steering Comm.\] Inviting input on specific transparency practices](#)
- [Very Undesirable Unintended Consequences](#)
- [Transparency, openness, & ethnography in democratic contexts](#)
- [Harms in study of identity, and forgotten agency](#)
- [Right to be Forgotten?](#)
- [Against "requirements"](#)
- [Interview-based research and the pros and cons of transparency](#)

- [Are qualitative researchers held to a higher standard?](#)
- [Re: Are qualitative researchers held to a higher standard?](#)
- [Data access – human subjects protections](#)
- [Re: Data access – human subjects protections](#)
- [No place for my work in this debate](#)
- [Re: Inviting input on specific transparency practices](#)
- [Research in non-democratic countries](#)

- **Interpretive political science:**

- [Reflections on DA-RT \(three points\)](#)
- [Re: "Truth" or DARE: Let's Replace "Transparency" with "Explicitness"](#)

- **Research in contexts of political violence:**

- [Re: \[From Steering Comm.\] Inviting input on specific transparency practices](#)
- [Re: \[From Steering Comm.\] Inviting input on specific transparency practices](#)
- [Harms in study of identity, and forgotten agency](#)
- [Do no harm](#)
- [Data access-human subjects protections](#)
- [Association for the Study of Nationalities \(ASN\) on DA-RT](#)
- [What is openness/transparency/explicitness in violence/contentious politics research?](#)
- [Research in non-democratic countries](#)

- **Research in authoritarian or repressive settings or contexts of stark power asymmetries:**

- [Research in non-democratic countries](#)
- [Just back from fieldwork](#)
- [Harms in study of identity, and forgotten agency](#)
- [Do no harm](#)
- [Data access-human subjects protections](#)
- [Association for the Study of Nationalities \(ASN\) on DA-RT](#)
- [Interview-based research and the pros and cons of transparency](#)
- [Some thoughts on REP and QTD](#)

- **Research based on documentary evidence:**

See the Steering Committee query on "[Active Citation vs the Meaty Footnote.](#)"

Plus these topics and posts:

- [Re: Against "requirements"](#)
- [Re: \[From Steering Comm.\] Inviting input on specific transparency practice](#)
- [DA-RT: effect on graduate training](#)
- [\[From Steering Comm.\] What might qualitative data access look like?](#)
- [Re: Are qualitative researchers held to a higher standard?](#)

- **QCA:**

- [DA-RT Vader and QCA](#)

- **The study of political development:**

- [Transparency in APD Research](#)

- **Interdisciplinary research:**

- [Interdisciplinary Research](#)

- **Political theory:**

- [Political Theory and DA-RT](#)

- **Qualitative as compared to quantitative research:**

- [Re: Are qualitative researchers held to a higher standard?](#)

- [Against “requirements”](#)

Join the conversation by responding to any of these threads or by creating a new topic to raise an issue we haven't gotten to yet by clicking ["New Topic"](#)!

Re: [READ FIRST] What we've been talking about so far

Posted: Sun May 01, 2016 2:01 pm

by AlanJacobs

What transparency practices are of value?

- [Inviting input on specific transparency practices](#)

- [Resource: Oral History Principles and Best Practices](#)

- [What are the implications of transparency rules for graduate training?](#)

- [A Brighter Side to Data Dissemination](#)

- [Active citation versus the meaty footnote](#)

- [Transparency in APD Research](#)

Join the conversation by responding to any of these threads or by creating a new topic to raise an issue we haven't gotten to yet by clicking ["New Topic"](#)!

Re: [READ FIRST] What we've been talking about so far

Posted: Sun May 01, 2016 2:03 pm

by AlanJacobs

What do we mean by “transparency” anyway? What about forms of transparency beyond the DA-RT framework, such as being explicit about how research design choices were made or about positionality?

- [“Truth” or DARE: Let's Replace “Transparency” with “Explicitness”](#)

- [CP Newsletter: editorial or ideology?](#)

- [No place for my work in this debate](#)

- [Security Research and DA-RT](#)

- [Re: \[From Steering Comm.\] Inviting input on specific transparency practices](#)

- [Preregistration \(or lack thereof\)](#)

- [On the tip of the iceberg](#)

- [What might “transparency” look like for ethnographers?](#)

- [What is openness/transparency/explicitness in violence/contentious politics research?](#)

- [Transparency in hiring, promotion, etc.](#)

Join the conversation by responding to any of these threads or by creating a new topic to raise an issue we haven't gotten to yet by clicking ["New Topic"](#)!

Re: [READ FIRST] What we've been talking about so far

Posted: Sun May 01, 2016 2:06 pm

by AlanJacobs

What ethical and human-subject protection issues confront the pursuit of transparency?

- [Data access – discourage original data production?](#)
- [Association for the Study of Nationalities \(ASN\) on DA-RT](#)
- [Beyond the qual/qant divide](#)
- [Do no harm](#)
- [Data access – human subjects protections](#)
- [Research in non-democratic countries](#)
- [Right to be Forgotten?](#)
- [Security Research and DA-RT](#)
- [Re: \[From Steering Comm.\] Inviting input on specific transparency practices](#)
- [Re: \[From Steering Comm.\] Inviting input on specific transparency practices](#)
- [Transparency, openness, & ethnography in democratic contexts](#)
- [Re: Research in non-democratic countries](#)
- [Just back from fieldwork](#)
- [Interview-based research and the pros and cons of transparency](#)
- [Re: Interview-based research and the pros and cons of transparency](#)
- [Active citation versus the meaty footnote](#)
- [Some thoughts on REP and QTD](#)

Join the conversation by responding to any of these threads or by creating a new topic to raise an issue we haven't gotten to yet by clicking ["New Topic"](#)!

Re: [READ FIRST] What we've been talking about so far

Posted: Sun May 01, 2016 2:17 pm

by AlanJacobs

There's been extensive discussion of data-sharing rules and their implications, around questions such as:

- What does data-sharing concretely mean?
 - [Very Undesirable Unintended Consequences](#)
 - [Are qualitative researchers held to a higher standard?](#)
 - [What might qualitative data access look like?](#)
 - [Interview-based research and the pros and cons of transparency](#)
 - [Active citation versus the meaty footnote](#)
 - [Journal standards in practice](#)

Join the conversation by responding to any of these threads or by creating a new topic to raise an issue we haven't gotten to yet by clicking ["New Topic"](#)!

Re: [READ FIRST] What we've been talking about so far

Posted: Sun May 01, 2016 3:12 pm

by AlanJacobs

There's been extensive discussion of data-sharing rules and their implications, around questions such as:

- What are the costs of and obstacles to data-sharing, and how might these differently affect scholars

employing different methods or working at different kinds of institutions or at different career stages?

- [Data access – costs and inequality](#)
- [CP newsletter: editorial or ideology?](#)
- [Beyond the qual/quant divide](#)
- [Problems with assumption of APSR response](#)
- [Questionable benefits, Disproportionate costs, Narrowing of future research](#)
- [Harms in study of identity, and forgotten agency](#)
- [Are qualitative researchers held to a higher standard?](#)
- [Against “requirements”](#)
- [Are qualitative researchers held to a higher standard?](#)
- [Inviting input on specific transparency practices](#)
- [What might qualitative data access look like?](#)
- [Right to be Forgotten?](#)
- [Re: Right to be Forgotten?](#)
- [Re: Transparency in APD research](#)
- [Interview-based research and the pros and cons of transparency](#)
- [Research in non-democratic countries](#)

Join the conversation by responding to any of these threads or by creating a new topic to raise an issue we haven't gotten to yet by clicking ["New Topic"](#)!

Re: [READ FIRST] What we've been talking about so far

Posted: **Sun May 01, 2016 3:23 pm**

by **Alan Jacobs**

There's been extensive discussion of data-sharing rules and their implications, around questions such as:

- How beneficial is greater sharing of qualitative data?
Some have argued that the benefits of greater sharing of qualitative data are limited...
- [A pointless DA-RT](#)
- [Data access – costs and inequality](#)
- [Questionable benefits, Disproportionate costs, Narrowing of future research](#)
- [False Equivalences](#)
- [Re: Are qualitative researchers held to a higher standard?](#)
- [Re: Access to the broader evidentiary record in Congruence Analysis](#)
- [No place for my work in this debate](#)
- [Re: \[From Steering Comm.\] Inviting input on specific transparency practices](#)
- [Re: \[From Steering Comm.\] Inviting input on specific transparency practices](#)
- [Re: DA-RT: Effect on graduate training](#)
- [PS article on the first months of DA-RT](#)
- [Interview-based research and the pros and cons of transparency](#)
- [Active citation versus the meaty footnote](#)
- [Re: Active citation versus the meaty footnote](#)
- [Against “requirements”](#)

...while others see those benefits as substantial.

- [Re: CP newsletter: editorial or ideology](#)
- [A Brighter Side to Data Dissemination](#)

- [-DA-RT: effect on graduate training](#)
- [-Very Undesirable Unintended Consequences](#)
- [-Data access – human subjects protections](#)

Join the conversation by responding to any of these threads or by creating a new topic to raise an issue we haven't gotten to yet by clicking ["New Topic"](#)!

Re: [READ FIRST] What we've been talking about so far

Posted: **Sun May 01, 2016 3:24 pm**

by **AlanJacobs**

There's been extensive discussion of data-sharing rules and their implications, around questions such as:

- How could data access rules affect researchers' incentives or ability to undertake certain kinds of research?

- [-Data access – discourage original data production?](#)
- [-Very Undesirable Unintended Consequences](#)
- [-Harms in study of identity, and forgotten agency](#)
- [-Data access – human subjects protections](#)
- [-Re: Data access – human subjects protections](#)
- [-Research in non-democratic countries](#)
- [-Foreign Policy and Security Research](#)
- [-DA-RT: Effect on graduate training](#)
- [-Security Research and DA-RT](#)
- [-Re: CP newsletter: editorial or ideology?](#)
- [-Re: CP newsletter: editorial or ideology?](#)
- [-Re: \[From Steering Comm.\] Inviting input on specific transparency practices](#)
- [-Re: \[From Steering Comm.\] Inviting input on specific transparency practices](#)
- [-Transparency, openness, & ethnography in democratic contexts](#)
- [-Just back from fieldwork](#)
- [-Graduate Student concerns](#)
- [-Very undesirable unintended consequences](#)
- [-Interview-based research and the pros and cons of transparency](#)
- [-Are qualitative researchers held to a higher standard?](#)
- [-Re: Are qualitative researchers held to a higher standard?](#)
- [-Do no harm](#)
- [-Against "requirements"](#)
- [-No place for my work in this debate](#)
- [-Re: Inviting input on specific transparency practices](#)

Join the conversation by responding to any of these threads or by creating a new topic to raise an issue we haven't gotten to yet by clicking ["New Topic"](#)!

Re: [READ FIRST] What we've been talking about so far

Posted: **Sun May 01, 2016 3:25 pm**

by **AlanJacobs**

There's been extensive discussion of data-sharing rules and their implications, around questions such as:

• How central are “data” to qualitative political analysis? What counts as data?

[-Data access – what is data and who decides?](#)

[-DA-RT Approach Misses Important Aspects of Qualitative Research](#)

Join the conversation by responding to any of these threads or by creating a new topic to raise an issue we haven't gotten to yet by clicking ["New Topic"](#)!

Re: [READ FIRST] What we've been talking about so far

Posted: Sun May 01, 2016 3:32 pm

by AlanJacobs

What does analytic transparency look like in qualitative research?

[-Access to the broader evidentiary record in Congruence Analysis](#)

[-Re: "Truth" or DARE: Let's Replace "Transparency" with "Explicitness"](#)

[-Preregistration \(or lack thereof\)](#)

Join the conversation by responding to any of these threads or by creating a new topic to raise an issue we haven't gotten to yet by clicking ["New Topic"](#)!

Re: [READ FIRST] What we've been talking about so far

Posted: Sun May 01, 2016 3:33 pm

by AlanJacobs

What do we think of journals' current transparency policies?

[-Journal standards in practice](#)

[-Re: DA-RT: effect on graduate training](#)

[-Re: \[From Steering Comm.\] Inviting input on specific transparency practices](#)

[-Re: \[From Steering Comm.\] Inviting input on specific transparency practices](#)

[-AJPS badges](#)

[-Just back from fieldwork](#)

[-PS article on the first months of DA-RT](#)

[-A Brighter Side to Data Dissemination](#)

[-Re: \[From Steering Comm.\] What might qualitative data access look like?](#)

[-Re: Are qualitative researchers held to a higher standard?](#)

[-Active citation versus the meaty footnote](#)

[-Journal standards in practice](#)

Join the conversation by responding to any of these threads or by creating a new topic to raise an issue we haven't gotten to yet by clicking ["New Topic"](#)!

Re: [READ FIRST] What we've been talking about so far

Posted: Sun May 01, 2016 3:33 pm

by AlanJacobs

Who should judge whether research is sufficiently transparent? Should we have transparency rules?

Organically evolving norms? Acknowledged best practices?

- [-Who are the gatekeepers? Editors or reviewers?](#)
- [-Re: Data access – human subjects protections](#)
- [-Re: Are qualitative researchers held to a higher standard?](#)
- [-Re: Against “requirements”](#)
- [-Re: Against “requirements”](#)
- [-Graduate Student concerns](#)
- [-A Brighter Side to Data Dissemination](#)
- [-Re: Are qualitative researchers held to a higher standard?](#)
- [-What might "transparency" look like for ethnographers?](#)
- [-Journal standards in practice](#)
- [-Very Undesirable Unintended Consequences](#)
- [-CP newsletter: editorial or ideology?](#)
- [-Against "requirements"](#)
- [-Re: Against "requirements"](#)

Join the conversation by responding to any of these threads or by creating a new topic to raise an issue we haven't gotten to yet by clicking ["New Topic"](#)!

Re: [READ FIRST] What we've been talking about so far

Posted: **Sun May 01, 2016 3:33 pm**
by **AlanJacobs**

What are the implications of transparency rules for graduate training?

- [-DA-RT: effect on graduate training](#)
- [-Graduate Student concerns](#)
- [-Re: No place for my work in this debate](#)
- [-Re: \[From Steering Comm.\] Inviting input on specific transparency practices](#)
- [-Re: Against “requirements”](#)

Join the conversation by responding to any of these threads or by creating a new topic to raise an issue we haven't gotten to yet by clicking ["New Topic"](#)!

Re: [READ FIRST] What we've been talking about so far

Posted: **Sun May 01, 2016 3:34 pm**
by **AlanJacobs**

How might DA-RT affect public perceptions of political science?

- [-DA-RT will not raise or restore public trust in our discipline](#)
- [-DA-RT will cause contempt for the political science profession among political professionals](#)

Join the conversation by responding to any of these threads or by creating a new topic to raise an issue we haven't gotten to yet by clicking ["New Topic"](#)!

Re: [READ FIRST] What we've been talking about so far

Posted: **Fri May 13, 2016 7:48 pm**
by **AlanJacobs**

What are the benefits of research transparency in general? (See [here](#) for discussion of benefits of data

access in particular.)

[-Graduate Student concerns](#)

[-Doing the thing right and doing the right thing](#)

[-Against “requirements”](#)

Join the conversation by responding to any of these threads or by creating a new topic to raise an issue we haven't gotten to yet by clicking "[New Topic](#)"!

Re: [READ FIRST] What we've been talking about so far (Updated 5/11)

Posted: **Fri Aug 19, 2016 3:59 pm**

by **AlanJacobs**

How should the QTD Working Groups be defined?

[-How should QTD Working Groups be defined?](#)

Join the conversation by responding to any of these threads or by creating a new topic to raise an issue we haven't gotten to yet by clicking "[New Topic](#)"!

Re: [READ FIRST] What we've been talking about so far (Updated 5/11)

Posted: **Fri Aug 19, 2016 5:05 pm**

by **AlanJacobs**

What role does transparency play in the evaluation of research? What alternative criteria or tools of evaluation do/should scholars employ?

[-What is openness/transparency/explicitness in violence/contentious politics research?](#)

[-Do no harm](#)

Join the conversation by responding to any of these threads or by creating a new topic to raise an issue we haven't gotten to yet by clicking "[New Topic](#)"!

Re: [READ FIRST] What we've been talking about so far (Updated 5/11)

Posted: **Fri Aug 19, 2016 5:29 pm**

by **AlanJacobs**

What should be QTD working group foci?

[-Re: Are qualitative researchers held to a higher standard?](#)

[-How should the QTD Working Groups be defined?](#)

Join the conversation by responding to any of these threads or by creating a new topic to raise an issue we haven't gotten to yet by clicking "[New Topic](#)"!

Re: [READ FIRST] What we've been talking about so far (Updated 5/11)

Posted: **Fri Aug 19, 2016 6:16 pm**

by **AlanJacobs**

What are the implications of “the right of first use” for the pursuit of transparency?

[-Data access and “right to first use” of newly collected quantitative data](#)

Join the conversation by responding to any of these threads or by creating a new topic to raise an issue we haven't gotten to yet by clicking ["New Topic"](#)!

All times are UTC-04:00

Page **1** of **1**

Powered by phpBB® Forum Software © phpBB Limited

<https://www.phpbb.com/>